I/N News ... especially for you!

Volume 10, Issue 4 Winter, 2010 Suzi Subeck, Editor Stan Subeck, President John Goldstein, Vice President John Pereles, Secretary Jeff Miller, Treasurer

Major Suit Raises by Jim O'Neil

Inside This Issue:

When partner opens with 1 of a major, what is the best way to show a hand with game-going values and good trump support?

Major Suit Raises I

Using Standard American bidding methods, there is no convenient way for Responder to show a hand with game values and trump support. A simple raise (e.g., 1S - 2S) shows about 6 to a bad 10; a jump raise (1S - 3S) shows a good 10 to about 12. A double-jump raise (1S - 4S) is best used to show a weaker, distributional raise. (Those pairs who still prefer to play the jump raise as forcing will have an equally awkward problem with limit raise hands).

Masterpoints 6

With Standard methods, the way to show game-going hands with trump support is to bid a new suit first, then jump in Opener's suit. This is usually adequate, but there are a few drawbacks:

Counting to 13 8

Should the auction become competitive, partner will act not knowing that we have good trump support.

Partner will not know how good our trump support is. Partner may be wary of moving toward slam with weak trumps.

There is less room for slam investigation. By bidding a new suit, then jumping, we may already be at the 4-level.

Tournament Schedules Throughout

Tournament

Results

Consider this hand:

 \spadesuit - AQ43 \blacktriangledown - K43 \spadesuit - A975 \clubsuit - 43

Partner opens 1 ♠. We respond 2 ♦. The next hand bids 3 ♣, and partner doubles.

We may feel obligated to pull partner's double, as we have good trump support. If partner has something like:

 $-KJ652 \quad V - QJ6 \quad -4 \quad -KQJ2$

I/Nsure Success 12 Program

10

3 * will be defeated several tricks, while 4 * may go down (Club Ace, Club ruff, Heart Ace, Club ruff). But if we pass partner's double, we might find partner with:

 $-K_{1}652 \quad \forall -AQ72 \quad \bullet -8 \quad \clubsuit -AQT$

We will probably beat $3 \clubsuit$ about 3 tricks, while $6 \spadesuit$ is cold, and 7 is on a finesse.

(continued from page 1)

We might hold a hand such as:

$$\spadesuit$$
 – Q7642 \blacktriangledown – AK \blacklozenge – A3 \clubsuit – KQ73

We open $1 \spadesuit$, and partner responds $2 \spadesuit$. We bid $3 \clubsuit$, and partner jumps to $4 \spadesuit$. Slam is certainly possible. But it may be too dangerous to investigate.

Opposite a near minimum like:

$$-AK83 \quad -AK83 \quad -75 \quad -K654 \quad -A65$$

A Grand Slam is almost cold. But if partner's trumps are not very good:

$$\spadesuit$$
 - 9853 \blacktriangledown - QJ3 \spadesuit - KQJ5 \clubsuit - A5

We may be down even at the 5 level. And there is no room to investigate.

The Jacoby 2NT Convention

One popular convention designed to handle these types of hands is the "Jacoby 2NT" convention, invented by Oswald Jacoby, who also devised "Jacoby Transfers". This convention is quite simple: After an opening bid of 1 ♥ or 1 ♠, a jump to 2NT shows:

- 1) Enough strength to force to game (good 12+ points)
- 2) Support for partner's suit (4 or more cards)

The 2NT response forces to at least game, and asks Opener to further describe his hand.

Note that the Jacoby 2NT convention is used only if responder is not a passed hand, and only if the opponents have not entered the auction. By a passed hand, or in competition, a jump to 2NT is natural, showing a balanced hand of 11-12 points.

The Jacoby 2NT allows responder to show strength and support with one bid. It can allows for more accuracy should the auction become competitive. More importantly, it improves the accuracy of slam bidding, since much information can be exchanged at lower levels.

As with any convention, we give up something to get something. We give up the ability to bid a natural 2NT. This is not a great sacrifice; Responder can generally bid 2NT at his second turn. In the meantime, there is a chance to find a fit in a suit.

Opener's rebids

After a response of 2NT, it is Opener's duty to describe his hand. Opener rebids as follows:

- 3 of a new suit singleton or void in the suit bid
- 4 of a new suit a second good 5-card suit (usually promises two of the top three honors)
- 4 of the agreed trump suit Minimum values, no singleton or void

With more than minimum values, but no singleton or void, Opener has two options. Opener can rebid 3 of the (Continued on page 3)

From the Editor:

In this issue, I am running some articles reprinted from past issues of the I/N News ... especially for you! dating as far back as 2001. The material is still current and the lessons are certainly valuable. Since our readership evolves as readers reach 300 points, these lessons are important to review. Hope you enjoy the material as much as our retired readers did.

Other articles are new. Mixing up the material is a good way to keep it all current!!

(continued from page 2)

agreed trump suit, or 3NT. There are many ways to play these two bids. Perhaps the best way to play them:

3NT about 15-18 pts, balanced or semi-balanced, typically 5-3-3-2 or 5-4-2-2 distribution

3 of the trump suit about 15-18 pts w/extra trump length, or 19+ pts.

After Opener's rebid, Responder can now can decide how best to proceed. With no slam interest, he can simply jump to game. If he wants to explore further, he can use Blackwood to ask for Aces, or start cuebidding.

Some examples of the Jacoby 2NT convention in action:

1) (Responder)
$$- KQ65 \quad - K52 \quad - T842 \quad - A3$$
 (Opener) $- AJ973 \quad - AQ3 \quad - 7 \quad - K874$

Opener Responder

- 1 ♠ 2NT (Jacoby)
- 3 ♦ (shortness)

Knowing that all his high cards are working, Responder can make a move toward slam. A possible continuation:

- 4 **♣** (cue-bid)
- 4 ♥ (cue-bid) 4NT (Blackwood)
- 5 ♥ (2 Aces) 6 ♠

pass

And a good Slam is reached with only 26 high-card points.

Opener Responder

- 1 ♠ 2NT (Jacoby)
- 3 ♥ (shortness) 4 ♠

pass

Knowing that his Heart King is not working, Responder has no slam interest unless Opener is very strong. With a minimum, Opener is glad to pass.

6 ♠ pas:

With good fitting cards in both partner's suits, Responder is willing to move toward slam.

Splinter Bids

Consider this hand: ♠ QT973 ♥ T86 ♦ K7 ♣ KQ4

Partner opens $1 \spadesuit$. We respond $1 \spadesuit$. Partner now raises to $4 \spadesuit$. Do we move toward slam or not? If we pass, we might find partner with:

- \spadesuit AKJ5 \forall 3 \spadesuit AJ42 \clubsuit A753
- 6 \(\ \) is practically cold. If we try for slam, partner might have:
- ♠ AKJ5 ♥ A753 ♠ AJ42 ♣ 3

(Continued on page 4)

(Continued from page 3)

We may be down even if we can stop at the 5 level.

It would be nice if partner could have bid "4 \(\bigcirc\) with a singleton club", or "4 \(\bigcirc\) with a singleton heart". Of course, that is not allowed, but there is another way that is just as good. A very popular convention known as "Splinter Bids" allows either Opener or Responder to show game-forcing values with a good fit, and shortness (a singleton or void) in a side suit.

There are a number of ways to play splinter bids. The simplest and perhaps most common:

At responder's first or second bid, or at Opener's second bid, a double-jump in a previously unbid suit after a bid of 1 ♥ or 1 ♠ is a **Splinter**, showing:

1) Enough strength to go to game

For Responder, this means at least a good 12 or more points; at least 9-10 of these should be high-card points. For Opener, this means at least 19 points, at least 16-17 should be in high cards.

- 2) 4 card or better support for partner and
- 3) A singleton of void in the suit bid.

These are splinter bids:

1) A double-jump shift by Responder after an opening bid of 1 ♥ or 1 ♠.

$$1 \lor -3 \land \text{ or } 4 \checkmark \text{ or } 4 \lor 1 \land -4 \checkmark \text{ or } 4 \lor \text{ or } 4 \lor$$

2) A double-jump shift by Opener after a response of 1 ♥ or 1 ♠.

```
1 - 1 = 3  or 4  or 4
```

3) A double-jump shift rebid by Responder after a rebid of 1 ♥ or 1 ♠.

```
1 \div -1 \diamond; 1 \lor -3 \diamond 1 \div -1 \diamond; 1 \diamond -4 \lor 1 \div -1 \lor; 1 \diamond -4 \lor
```

We do not give up much to play splinter bids; a double-jump in a new suit is not all that useful as a natural bid.

There are other instances in which splinter bids can be played: After a Opening $1 \clubsuit$ or $1 \spadesuit$ opening bid, after a response of a minor, or 2 of a major, etc. But Splinter bids are most useful after an opening, response or rebid of $1 \heartsuit$ or $1 \spadesuit$.

It is clear that splinter bids can improve slam accuracy. Consider the example hands:

Responder knows that all his cards are working; Slam is definitely possible.

(Continued on page 5)

(Continued from page 4)

Opener Responder

1 ♦ 1 ♠

4 ♣ (Splinter) 4 ♠

pass

Responder's Club honors are wasted; there is little chance for slam. Even the 5 level may be too high.

The Double-Jump Raise

With a very distributional hand, Responder can jump directly to game in Opener's major. To jump to 4 ♥ over a 1 ♥ opening, or 4 ♠ over a 1 ♠ opening, Responder should have:

- 1) at least 5 card trump support
- 2) a hand worth about 10-12 points; at least half of these should be distributional points

This is a typical 4 ♠ response to a 1 ♠ opening bid:

$$\spadesuit$$
- K9763 \blacktriangledown − 6 \blacklozenge − 732 **C** − \clubsuit K643

Even if 4 ♠ does not make, it is likely a good save over 4 ♥ by the opponents.

Partner opens 1 \(\blacktriangle \). What do you respond?

You open 1 ♠, and partner responds 2NT. Rebid?

6)
$$- \text{KQ843} \quad - \text{863} \quad - \text{AK94} \quad - 8$$

9)
$$- KQ843$$
 $- 86$ $- AK943$ $- 3$

You open 1 ♠, and partner responds 4 ♣ (Splinter). What do you rebid?

(Answers on page 6)

(Continued on page 6)

Answers to Forcing Major-suit raises, hands 1-12:

- 1. 3 \(\bigau \). This hand is worth about 10 pts, not quite enough for a forcing raise.
- 2. **2NT** (Jacoby). This hand qualifies for a forcing raise. Bidding 2NT now is better than starting with 2 ♦, then raising Spades.
- 3. **2** ♦. We may bid 2NT next. A Spade contract is still a possibility (partner may have 6); we may also want to play in Hearts (if partner is 5-5) or even Diamonds or Clubs.
- 4. 4 ♥ (Splinter). Informing partner of our singleton may help partner make a move toward slam.
- 6. 3 . A new suit at the 3-level shows a singleton or void in the suit bid.
- 7. 4 . This shows a minimum (no more than 14 pts), with no singleton or void.
- 8. **3NT**. This shows extras (15-18 or so) with a relatively balanced hand.
- 9. **4** ♦. The second good 5-card suit may be more important to partner than the singleton Club.
- 10. **3** ♠. This shows extras (15-18 or so with extra trump length, or any 19+), and no singleton or void.
- 11. **4** ♦ (cue-bid). With no wasted values, slam is possible. Partner may be able to cooperate.
- 12. **4** ♠. With wasted club values, we should sign off in game.

MASTERPOINTS The Bridge Bulletin, October 1998

Masterpoints are a reward for winning or placing at duplicate bridge. Masterpoints, also referred to as points or MPs, come in many sizes and colors.

Black Points:

Black Points are awarded at club and unit games. Also referred to as club masterpoints, 100 club masterpoints are the equivalent of one (1.00) masterpoint.

Silver Points:

Silver Points are awarded at sectional tournaments, progressive sectionals and STaCs (sectional tournament at clubs).

Red Points:

Red Points are awarded at regional tournaments and the three annual NABCs (North American Bridge Championships).

Gold Points:

Gold Points are awarded at Regionals and NABCs for topping a section or placing overall in an event of two or more sessions with an upper masterpoint limit of 750 mps or more.

RANK

As an ACBL member accumulates points, certain milestones - or ranks - will be reached that indicate the member's progress. Each rank requires that the member have a certain number and type of points. See the chart at right.

(Continued on page 7)

Additional Life Master designations have been established and are available to players who have achieved Life Master. These include: Bronze LM (500-1000); Silver LM (1000-2500); Gold LM (1500-5000); Diamond LM (5000+); and Grand LM (at least 10,000 MPs with at least one win in a North American championships event that has no maximum masterpoint restriction).

MPs	Color Requirements
0-4.9	9 None
5	None
20	None
50	5 silver
100	5 red or gold, 15 silver
200	5 gold, 5 red/gold, 25 silver
300 2	25 gold, 25 red/gold, 50 silver
	0-4.9° 5 20 50 100 200

For players joining after December 31, 2009:

Fewer than 5 Rookie

Junior Master

Club Master 20 (at least 5 black, no more than 15 from online play) Sectional Master 50 (at least 10 black, 5 silver, no more than 25 from online

play)

Regional Master 100 (at least 15 black, 15 silver, 5 red or gold/platinum, no

more than 40 from online play)

200 (at least 20 black, 25 silver, 15 red or gold/platinum, 5 NABC Master gold/platinum, no more than 70 from online play)

300 (at least 50 black, 50 silver, 25 red or gold/ Advanced NABC Master platinum, 25 gold/platinum, no more than 100 from online play)

Life Master 500 (at least 75 black, 75 silver, 50 red or gold/platinum, 50 gold/platinum, no more than 165 from online play)

MASTERPOINT CONTESTS

ACBL conducts the annual MINI-MCKENNEY and ACE OF CLUBS contests for players in each rank.

The Bridge Bulletin recognizes leaders ACBL-wide. At the unit level, winners in each category receive recognition and special medallions.

The Mini-McKenney tallies all points won within a calendar year while the Ace of Clubs contest is limited to points won in club games within a calendar year.

In addition to the regular ranks, players in other categories - Youth (age 20 and younger), Junior (age 26 and younger), and Senior (age 55 and older) - are recognized.

OTHER MASTERPOINT PLANS

Comparable masterpoint plans are in effect in many other bridge-playing countries, although rankings and requirements differ from country to country. In addition, the World Bridge Federation (WBF) has adopted its own masterpoint plan for the ranking of players of international caliber.

WinterFest Chicago Sectional Holiday Inn, 3405 Algonquin Road, Rolling Meadows

Events for I/N Players (0-300 Masterpoints)

Stratification: 0-100, 100-200, 200-300

Friday, January 28

9:30 Stratified Pairs 2:00 Stratified Pairs 7:30 Stratified Pairs

Saturday, January 29

2:00 Stratified Pairs 8:00 Stratified Pairs

Sunday, January 30

10:00 Stratified Swiss Teams (2 sessions) More chances for Silver Points

Tournament/Dinner Chairs:

Carl Sharp, 773-483-3734 IBSharp2@sbcglobal.net Suzi Subeck, 847-509-0311 stansubeck@prodigy.net

Annual Unit Dinner: 1/29 Join us January 29 at 5:30 p.m. for the Annual CCBA Dinner and Meeting.

Send your check (\$20 per ticket) made payable to the CCBA with a SASE to

Les Kent 2802 S. Briarwood Drive West Arlington Heights, IL 60005 by January 22.

Tables of 10 may be reserved if prepaid by January 22.

Tickets will be held for pickup at the tournament site.

Counting to 13 ... an excerpt from a Marty Bergen Learning Booklet: Secrets to Good Defense ... highly recommended!!

South	North
1♥	1♠
2♦	3♦
3NT	

Against South's 3NT contract, you make the obvious lead of your 4th-best club. East covers dummy's ♣8 with the ten, and declarer wins the trick with the ace. He leads the ♥2 and you win with your king.

North's ♥10 and partner's ♥3 complete the trick. Once again, you're on lead. Before reading on, what card would you lead?

Let's count HCP. You have 11 and dummy has 10, for a total of 21. South opened the bidding, but then made a non-forcing 2♦ bid. When North raised to 3♦ to invite game, South accepted the invitation. Therefore, you expect South to have 14-17 HCP; which doesn't leave much for East.

What's going on in clubs? You were not deceived by declarer's play of the ♣A. East can't have the ♣K or ♣Q. If he did, he would have played it at the first trick. Continuing clubs is futile; South will be able to set up hearts before you can set up clubs.

What now? Think and count carefully. You already know a great deal about declarer's distribution.

Before proceeding, here's a hint: There is exactly one card in your hand that will defeat this contract!

Did you figure out that declarer can't have more than one spade? He opened 1♥ and rebid 2♠, so he must have at least five hearts and four diamonds.

That gets you to up to nine red cards. You already figured out that he has to have the ♣A K Q – which leaves room for only one spade. You can't know which one it is, but do you care?

South has a singleton spade. It could be any card; but as long as you're on your toes – it doesn't matter.

Just in case his singleton is the queen, you should lead the ♠K! I hope you figured that out. When I use this hand in my classes, very few get it right.

Here is the entire deal:

If you find the essential shift to the ♠K, South won't be pleased. The defense is now totally in control. Declarer will duck your ♠K, but you'll continue with the ♠2. Whether declarer ducks or plays dummy's ace, I assume (and hope) that your partner will play his jack. As long as East does not block the suit, declarer must go down.



Jane
Strauss
Lecturing
at the
free CCBA
Novice
dinner
Thursday
night at
the
Regional



Page 9



If you don't attend the Chicago Regionals in July and October, you miss all this. It's fun and free!! Make your plans to attend next year's tournaments and improve your game!

Central	States 1	Region	nal, Lake Geneva, October 25-31	
CCIIII	States	region		
		_	Tuesday AM 299ers - 4.0 Tables	
MPs	1	2	3 Names Score	
1.98	1	1	1 Chris Gardner, Palatine IL; Sherilyn Sorem, Inverness IL	58.33%
1.49	2	2	Steve Beicos, Westchester IL; Henry Nisbet, Western Springs IL	56.25%
0.98	3/4	3/4	Eugene Trieglaff, Wild Rose WI; Barton Blum, Waupaca WI	52.78%
0.98	3/4	3/4	Kandy Ginsburg, Highland Park IL; Ronny Baker, Riverwoods IL	52.78%
1.16			2 Edward Gordon, Fox Lake IL; Paul Howard, Lake Villa IL	50.00%
			Tuesday Aft 299ers - 5.0 Tables	
MPs	A	вс	Names Score	
2.12	1	1 1	Sherilyn Sorem, Inverness IL; Chris Gardner, Palatine IL 6	5.50%
1.59	2	2 2	Barbara Voigt - Cynthia Wilson, Middleton WI	54.50%
1.19	3	3	Steve Beicos, Westchester IL; Henry Nisbet, Western Springs IL	54.00%
0.94	4	4 3	Edward Gordon, Fox Lake IL; Paul Howard, Lake Villa IL	52.50%

Volume 8, Issue 4 Page 10

```
Wed. Morn. 299er - 5.0 Tables
          B Names
2.12
             Barbara Mueller - James Mueller, Darien IL
                                                                                64 50%
             Robert Judd, Algonquin IL; Inez Petersen, Vernon Hills IL
                                                                                59.00%
             Edward Gordon - Shirley Mitchem, Fox Lake IL
1.55
                                                                                55.50%
             Ellen Katz, Riverwoods IL; Lorraine Spike, Mt Prospect IL
      4/5 2 Donna Grassi, Palatine IL; Noreen Ishak, Barrington IL
                                                                                55.00%
                                              Wednesday AFT 299ers - 12.0 Tables
MPs
                                       С
                                           Names
                                                                                  Score
                                           Moreen Alexander, Wilmette IL; Marcia Levine
3.11
                             1
                                           Susan Hillberry, Cherry Valley IL; Catherine Wright 59.23%
Carolyn Miller - Linda Murphy, Rockford IL 56.85%
2.33
1.90
1.25
                             4/5
                                   4/5 2/3 Jane O'Meara - Deborah Ridenour, Eau Claire WI
                                                                                                  54.17%
1.25
                                   4/5 2/3 Chris Gardner, Palatine IL; Sherilyn Sorem
                                                                                                  54.17%
0.80
                                           Barbara Voigt - Cynthia Wilson, Middleton WI
                                                                                                  53.27%
                                               Wednesday Eve I/n Pairs - 5.0 Tables
                               A B C
MPs
                                         Names
                                                                    Score
2.12
                                         Bobbie King, St Charles IL; Paul Primeau, Hinsdale IL 57.00%
1.59
                               2 2 1
                                         Robert Stapleford - Barbara Stapleford, Luxemburg WI 56.00%
                                         Janice Eager, Chicago IL; Barbara Wilson, Sudbury MA
                                                                                                  54.50%
1.19
                               3
                               4 3
1.16
                                         Ruth Wintroub - Shirley Westerman, Northbrook IL
                                                                                                  53.50%
                                         Sharon Thomas - Martie Blazis, Springfield IL
                                                                                                  52.00%
0.84
                                                Thurs Morn I/N Pairs - 11.0 Tables
MPs
                       ABC
                                Names
                                                                                  Score
2.97
                                Nita Fronk, Minneapolis MN; Marylou Doerrie, Coon Rapids MN
                                                                                                  59.23%
                       1 1
2.23
                       2
                                 Lois Michaels - Elaine Frank, Northbrook IL
                                                                                                  58.04%
1.67
                       3
                                 Barton Blum, Waupaca WI; Eugene Trieglaff, Wild Rose WI
                                                                                                  55.95%
1.25
                                 Cindy Henderson, Northfield IL; Kay Buhl, Northbrook IL
                                                                                                  55.06%
                       5 2 1
                                Jean Myrvold - Geraldine Kapluck, Racine WI
1.61
                                                                                                  54.17%
                                 Judy Zhu, Naperville IL; Eric Neumann, Downers Grove IL
1.20
                       6 3
                                                                                                  53.87%
0.90
                                 Janet Liska, Wautoma WI; Marlene Nievin, Racine WI
                                                                                                  53.27%
                            Thomas Krueger, Shorewood WI; Richard Krueger, Milwaukee WI
2/3 Barbara McDermott, Milwaukee WI; Betty McDermott
2/3 Barbara Voigt - Cynthia Wilson, Middleton WI 51.79%
0.68
                                                                                                  52.38%
0.97
                                                                                                  51.79%
0.97
                                                Thurs Aft I/n Pairs - 13.0 Tables
MPs
                    A B C Names
                                                                                   Score
                    1 1 1 Jan Nichol - Sue Edholm, Libertyville IL
3.25
                                                                                   61.17%
                    2 2 2 Donna Kenski - Joseph McCormack, Libertyville IL
2.44
                                                                                   60.04%
1.83
                    3 3 Robert Stapleford - Barbara Stapleford, Luxemburg WI 58.14%
                           Virginia Dimond - J Michael Dimond, Elmhurst IL
1.37
                                                                                   57.58%
                      5 4 Betty McDermott, Elm Grove WI; Barbara McDermott
1.14
                                                                                   54.17%
                          Sharon Thomas - Martie Blazis, Springfield IL
                    6 6
0.82
                                                                                   53.98%
                         5 Judy Zhu, Naperville IL; Eric Neumann
0.64
                                                                                   51.70%
                                                 Thursday EVE 299ers - 4.0 Tables
MPs
               Α
                   B C Names
                                                                                   Score
                        Virginia Dimond - J Michael Dimond, Elmhurst IL
1.98
                   1
                                                                                   59.03%
1.49
               2
                        Emalee Vicker, Minnetonka MN; Janet Goltz
                                                                                   54.86%
                        Cindy Henderson, Northfield IL; Kay Buhl, Northbrook IL 54.17%
1.11
               3
                                              Friday Morning I/N Pairs - 8.0 Tables
MPs
              В
                   C. Names
                                                                                    Score
2.54
                     Barton Blum, Waupaca WI; Eugene Trieglaff, Wild Rose WI
                                                                                    68.45%
1 91
                     Cindy Henderson, Northfield IL; Kay Buhl, Northbrook IL
                                                                                    62.20%
1.43
          3
                     Liz Riesen - Richard Riesen, Madison WI
                                                                                    59.23%
1.07
                     Kathleen Gerbosi, Glenview IL; Ilse Bridges, Winnetka IL
                                                                                    56.85%
0.80
                     Phyllis Kawinski, Willow Springs IL; Karen McAleenan
                                                                                    55.06%
1.79
                   1 Janet Goltz, Bloomington MN; Betty Salber, St Paul MN
                                                                                    52.98%
1.34
                   2 Robert Stapleford - Barbara Stapleford, Luxemburg WI
                                                                                    50.89%
1.01
                   3 Joan Carlson - Nanci McKeon, Inverness IL
                                                                                    49.70%
                   4 Wilma Tunick, Highland Park IL; Frieda Brown, Glenview IL
0.76
                                                                                    45.54%
                                                  Friday AFT 299er - 6.0 Tables
MPe
                                                                                    Score
2.26
             1
                      Michael Kramer - Vicki Kramer, Addison IL
                                                                                    63.33%
1.70
                      Liz Riesen - Richard Riesen, Madison WI
                                                                                    59.58%
1.67
                      Helen Melchior - Marjorie Habermann, Chicago IL
                                                                                    51.25%
         4/6 4/6 2/3 Chris Gardner, Palatine IL; Sherilyn Sorem, Inverness IL
1.10
                                                                                    49.58%
         4/6 4/6 2/3 Nanci McKeon - Joan Carlson, Inverness IL
1.10
                                                                                    49.58%
                                                                                    49.58%
0.74
         4/6 4/6
                      Iliana Rozemberg, Northbrook IL; R Paul Urbanick
                                                 Sat Mor 299er Pairs - 9.0 Tables
                                                                                     Score
                                                                                     69.64%
2.68 1
              James Ryan, Chicago IL; Tim Eckerman, Glenview IL
2.01
              Monica Ansay, Oconomowoc WI; Suzanne Haidinger, Wales WI
                                                                                     60.12%
2.00 3 1
              Thomas Krueger, Shorewood WI; Richard Krueger, Milwaukee WI
                                                                                     56 85%
          1 Robert Stapleford - Barbara Stapleford, Luxemburg WI
                                                                                     55.95%
1.13 5 3
              Jane Gidwitz, Northbrook IL; Marcia Levine, Highland Park IL
                                                                                     54.46%
        4 2 Tanner Davis - Jill Davis, Glencoe IL
                                                                                     53.87%
1.16
                                                 Saturday AFT 299ers - 8.0 Tables
2.54 1 1 1 William Baker - Wayne Carriveau, Burlington WI
                                                                                     67.56%
1.91 2.2
            Iliana Rozemberg, Northbrook IL; R Paul Urbanick, Chicago IL
                                                                                     56.55%
1.43 3 3
          2 Charlotte Stein, Oak Brook IL; Harold Stein, Oakbrook IL
                                                                                     55.36%
          3 Hubert Frank - Elaine Frank, Northbrook IL
                                                                                     52.98%
0.80 5
             Alexander Zaporozec - Tony Blasczyk, Madison WI
                                                                                     51.19%
0.72
            Tanner Davis - Jill Davis, Glencoe IL
                                                                                     50.30%
```

Volume 8, Issue 4 Page 11

The District 13 I/N Newsletter, Winter, 2010

Suzi Subeck, Editor

Email: stansubeck@prodigy.net 106 Penn Court, Glenview, IL 60026 Voice: 847-509-0311: Fax: 312-220-9114

Upcoming Tournaments (advertised within)

Madison Sectional, 12/3-5, Madison, WI Milwaukee Winter Regional, 12/26-12/30, Lake Geneva, WI WinterFest Sectional, 1/28-30/2011, Rolling Meadows, IL Prsrt Std U.S. Postage **Paid** Palatine, IL Permit # 7117

Madison Sectional
Madison Turner's Inc. (NEW LOCATION!!)
3001 S. Stoughton Rd. Madison, WI
(access from Frontage Road only)
(608) 222-4922
Friday
December 3
299'er Pairs stratified by Director
1:30 P.M.

299er pairs stratified by Director Players with 0-5 pts. play free if member of ACBL

Saturday



December 4
9:00 A.M.
299er pairs stratified by Director
1:30 P.M.
299er pairs stratified by Director
Chair: Diane Vaughan (608) 238-0851
vaughandiane@hotmail.com
Partnerships: Marge Morgan (608) 271-6460
eaglepkm@yahoo.co

Remember that this newsletter is ONLY available online. The I/N News comes out in hard copy three times a year: Spring, Summer and Fall... and the Winter edition is online only at this address:

http://acbl-district13.org/ArticlesAndNewsletters.htm

WISCONSIN HOLIDAY REGIONAL

December 26-30, 2010

Grand Geneva Resort and Spa, Lake Geneva, WI

Sunday, December 26 2:00 & 7:30 *Gold Rush* Teams*

Monday - Tuesday, December 27 – 28

9:30, 2:00 & 7:30

299er Stratified Pairs Single Sessions

Wednesday, December 29

9:30 & 2:00 *Gold Rush* Pairs*

7:30 299er Stratified Pairs Single Session

Thursday, December 30

10:00 299er Stratified Swiss Teams

Chairman: Marilynn Charlson, (262) 490-2644, mcharlson@wi.rr.com

I/N Chairman: Lynette Koski Llk220@aol.com

Red Points for all "IN" Games
Guest Speakers
Daily Bulletins



Thanks to all of you IN players who attended the District 13 Spring Tournament this past April and participated in the **INsure Success** mini lessons presented each afternoon. We are pleased to announce next year's District 13 Spring Regional will again offer ½ price entry fees for all 299er events.

IN players may ask questions or submit stories or requests for publication in this newsletter by emailing one of Dist.13's IN committee: Lynette Koski (GMBA), LLK220@aol.com, Angie Clark (CCBA), angieclark@comcast.net, or Denise Hoffman (WUMBA), d-hoffman@chartermi.net. Bridge teachers are invited to submit mini lessons or fun stories for publication to Suzi Subeck, the IN newsletter editor, at stansubeck@prodigy.net.