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In the last issue we dealt with Bridge from the Basics. Now that you have had that lesson and you're ready to play, you need to be armed with the necessary tools to reach the right contracts.

In the next several issues, we will feature "simple but necessary conventions" to improve your game.
Stayman is used to reach 4-4 fits in a major suit after you or partner has opened 1NT.

Say partner opens 1NT and you have ten points and a four card heart or spade suit or both. How do you find out if partner has four of your major too?
It's simple. You bid an artificial two clubs. That asks partner to bid two hearts with four hearts; two spades with four spades; or two diamonds if he has no four card major. If partner holds both four card majors, most experts will tell you that it is advisable to bid hearts first.
If partner bids two of your major, you raise to game in that major. If partner bids two of the other major or two diamonds, you simply bid 3NT.

The two club bid is completely artificial and says nothing about your club holding.
Here is a valuable lesson from Fifth Chair, followed by a quiz to help you understand how it all works:

## Stayman + Guiz ... Responses to One NO Trump including the Stayman Convention:

Responses to One NoTrump Openings:
With a BALANCED hand, and NO four-card major:
0-7 points: Pass
8-9 points: Bid 2NT Partner then bids 3NT with $16 / 17$ points
10-15 points: Balanced, no 4 card major, but maybe a 5 card minor: Bid 3NT
16-17 points: Bid 4NT Partner then bids 6NT with $16 / 17$ points
18-19 points: Bid 6 NT

| Bid a little. Bid a lot. But try to tell Just what you've got! | (Stayman, continued from page 1) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-21 points: Bid 5NT, forcing to 6 NT - Partner bids 6 NT with 16 points; or 7 NT with |
|  | $22+$ points: Bid 7NT |
|  | With an UNBALANCED hand: |
|  | 0-7 points-5/or more diamonds/hearts/spades: Bid $2 \downarrow, 2 \downarrow / 2 \downarrow$ |
|  | 8-9 points: Explore for game, bid 2NT |
|  | 10-14 points: Bid any 5 card major suit at the 3 level, partner should bid either 3NT with 2 cards in that suit, or bid 4 of a major with a 3 card fit. Bid a six card minor at the 3 level. |
|  | 15+ and up: Explore for slam |
|  | The Guiz: |
|  | Partner opens with 1 NT. What is your response with each of the following hands? |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 8. $\uparrow A x x \vee K x \uparrow K G x x x * K G J$ |
|  | 9. $\uparrow$ AGx Ax A ${ }^{\text {a }}$-KGJxx |

Use the Stayman Convention with a balanced hand, AND a 4 card major, by bidding $2 \boldsymbol{*}$, which is a completely artificial bid.
The bidding proceeds: 1 NT pass $2 *$ This bid promises at least one four card major, and at least 8 HCP.

No Trump Bidder Responses:
$2 \star$ I have NO four card major
$2 \downarrow$ I have four hearts and may have four spades
2. I have four spades and do NOT have four hearts

You open with 1 NT , partner bids $2 \boldsymbol{*}$, what is your bid?
10. $\Delta \mathrm{Kx}$ AQX $\quad$ GJxx $\stackrel{A x x}{ }$ $\qquad$
11. $\uparrow A K G J \vee x x x * K J x x * \mathrm{Qx}^{2}$ $\qquad$
12. $\operatorname{sxx} \downarrow K$ xx $\uparrow A K J x * K J x$ $\qquad$
Partner opened $1 N T$, you bid $2 \boldsymbol{*}$, partner bid $2 \wedge$, what is your bid?
13. $\uparrow$ Kx GJJx $4 x x x$ Qxx $\qquad$
14. ©xxxx $\uparrow A K x x$ Gx $* K x x$ $\qquad$
15. Axxx $\vee \mathrm{AGJx} \uparrow \mathrm{KJXX} * \mathrm{~A}$ $\qquad$
Partner opens 1NT. What is your bid with each of these hands?
 $\qquad$
 $\qquad$
18. $\uparrow K x x x \geqslant x x * K J x x * Q x x$ $\qquad$
 $\qquad$
 $\qquad$
 $\qquad$
22. $\uparrow A J x x x \vee K x \geqslant x x$ \&xx $\qquad$
23. 4 AQx Q $\mathrm{X} \star \mathrm{Kxxxxx} * x x$ $\qquad$
24. $\uparrow A K x \vee K G x x$ Bx *Axxx $\qquad$
25. ↔KJx $\uparrow$ A xxx ©xxxxx $\qquad$
26. © $x x x$ Px $\uparrow$ AK xxx \&Ax $\qquad$
 $\qquad$

Answers:

124
2 2
3 Pass
4 2NT
53
64
7 2
8 6NT
9 7NT
102
11 2
12 2
13 2NT
14 44
$154 *$ Gerber Convention, checking to be sure partner has all kings, then bid seven
Spades.
16 2\%
17 2\%
18 2*

$202 \boldsymbol{*}$ - intending to bid $2 \wedge$ after partner's bid. If partner bids $2 \star$ or $2 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$, you now bid $2 \wedge$, invitational. If partner bids ea, then bid 3 Spades which is invitational
21 Pass
22 2* - intending to bid Ra after partner's bid. If partner bids $2 \star$ or $2 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$, you now bid $2 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$, invitational. If partner bids 2^, then bid 3\& which is invitational, same as problem 20.
23 3
24 6NT
25 3*

Bid carefully to Your right spot...

Or bridge can make some tempers hot!

27 4 - You bid game here, with the 6 card suit, as partner has guaranteed at least 2^, by his opening bid.
(For best results, share this article with partner ... Things work out best if you are both playing conventions the same way!!)

## The New Notrump Convention by Sam Stayman

This article is reprinted from the June 1945 Bridge World. It is in Sam Stayman's own words:

The use of the opening one notrump bid to show definite minimum and maximum limits of strength is quite general in the most widely used bidding systems and among the large majority of experts. Incidentally, the strength required, nowadays, doesn't differ much among systems. Whether they are based on honor count, point count or count by ear, opening notrumps are pretty much the same.

Using the limit notrump, the responder is often faced with a problem. If a response of two of a suit is forcing for one round, it is impossible to reach a partscore contract of two of a suit. When the responder has one or two four-card major suits, it is impossible for him to describe his hand. If the major (or majors) were bid, it is unlikely that the opening bidder would allow for 4-4-3-2 distribution in the responder's hand. More important, if the responder bids spades and then hearts and the notrump bidder does not know whether four-card for five-card suits are being shown, the game reached may be inferior.

So, when the responder has one five-card major and the minimum values for a raise, the standard action is to raise to two notrump. The possibilities of a majorsuit game are by-passed.

In consideration of these faults of standard bidding practice, several new conventions have been tried in recent years. The writer has experimented with new ideas along this line, finally accepting one.

Originally suggested by George Rapee, this convention has been played by several partnerships for over a year. It seems to have worked out very well. Playing with Rapee, Edward Hymes, Howard Schenken and Waldemar van Zedtwitz in rubber games and tournaments, the writer has found it superior to the usual methods of handling responses to one notrump.

Be serious in all your bidding, So partner knows that you're not kidding!

The convention:
In response to an opening bid of one notrump in any position, two clubs is artificial and is forcing for one round. Partner is requested, in rebidding, to show a major suit, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$ or better.

Rebids (after the two-club response) by the opening bidder:
Two hearts or two spades shows possession of the major suit, but implies no added strength.

Two diamonds is artificial. It denies possession of a major suit, Qxxx or better. It also shows that the general strength of the hand is minimum within the range employed for one-notrump opening bids.

Two notrump, like two diamonds, denies holding a biddable major suit. However, it shows that the general strength of the hand is at or near the maximum of the range for the opening one notrump.

Logical consequences of the two-club convention:
When the first response to partner's notrump bid is two of any suit except clubs, it
(Continued on page 5)
is a signoff. It will be passed almost all the time. It shows insufficient strength to raise the notrump contract. With the limit of strength shown by the one-notrump bid, it denies prospects of game. In effect, it simply chooses a contract of two in a suit, in preference to one notrump, for a partscore.

All other responses are natural. Raises to two or three notrump can be made. Jump responses in a suit have their usual meaning.

The inference is clearly present that the failure to respond two clubs, when this convention is being played by the partnership, denies interest in exploring what the conventions could have shown; except

The two-club response, like the opening notrump bid itself, is strictly limited in strength. It denies slam possibilities (through failure to make a natural jump response in a suit). Therefore, after first responding two clubs, the responder may bid very strongly without fear that the notrump bidder will carry the bidding too high.

Let us look at a few examples of the use of the convention. In all the following cases, the bidding has proceeded:

| NORTH <br> 1NT | EAST <br> Pass | SOUTH | WEST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South holds: |  |  |  |
| คAJxx | vKGxx | * xxx | 2xx |

South bids two clubs. If North rebids two hearts or two spades (showing no added strength), South will immediately bid game in that suit.

If North bids two diamonds South will rebid three notrump. (If South should rebid only two notrump, North might well pass. North has already told South that he has a minimum one notrump. If South doesn't have the values to bid game, why should North take charge and bid again on the same cards? He may, if he has an added jack or so; but the reason for the two-club bid in this case was to probe the possibilities of play in a major suit. There never was a question about reaching game. Therefore, South must bid it.)
-Axxx -

As in the previous case, South bids two clubs. However, South will now raise a two-

Aces sometimes are called "sticks" ...

They are meant for taking tricks! heart or two-spade rebid only to three of that suit. If North has an absolute minimum, the opportunity is given him to drop the bidding below game.

If North rebids two diamonds, South now bids only two notrump.
If North rebids two notrump, South goes on to three notrump. Since North has a maximum one notrump, South should go on to game.
↔xx $\quad$ KJXxx $\quad$ Qx
South bids two clubs. If North bids two spades or two notrump, South now bids three hearts, giving North a choice between three notrump and four hearts. This tells North
(Continued on page 7)

## Ms. Information ...

Dear Ms.,
At the recent Central States Regional in Lake Geneva, my partner and I were playing in the 299er game. Our opponents had the following auction:

| Lefty | Righty |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 14 |
| 2 | Pass |

Lefty got very upset when her partner passed and insisted that a "reverse" is forcing ... at least one round.

What is a reverse? And is Lefty correct... is it forcing?
Thank you!
Unclear in the North Suburbs
Dear Unclear,
A reverse occurs when one opens the bidding and bids again OVER the level of his opening suit, following partner's response. In other words, if Lefty had less values in the actual auction you described, he could bid 1NT, 2\&, 2 or 2 without showing extras. BUT when he bids $2 \downarrow$ and forces Righty to choose diamonds or hearts at the three level, he is showing extras and that is a Reverse.

Here is what Frank Stewart has to say about reverses in other situations:

## Are Reverses Forcing?

Although there is a logical basis for reverses to be not forcing, almost all current expert partnerships treat them as forcing. Some experts treat a reverse as especially strong, roughly equivalent to a jump shift and virtually forcing to game; other partnerships treat a reverse as strong -- opener is willing to play at the three level if responder must go there to show a preference -- but not game-forcing, so decide this with your partner.

Always bid and play your best.

That will be your greatest test.

Are reverses forcing if the opponents have previously spoken, is ambiguous without specifically what the auction was.

In an auction such as

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 *$ | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |

South's rebid is a reverse, and the strength he promises should not be altered by East's overcall. But here,

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 1* |
|  | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |

South's $2 \boldsymbol{v}$ is not a reverse. North's 2 is forcing, and $2 \boldsymbol{w}$ was South's cheapest action.
that South holds a five-card suit; South would not offer a four-card suit at this point, since it is almost sure that North does not hold four hearts.

If North rebids two hearts, South bids four hearts.
If North rebids two diamonds, South bids two hearts (again, logically, this will show a five-card suit), and game will be reached in hearts or notrump depending on North's action.

```
\DeltaKxxxx 『Qxxxx * * *x
```

South bids two clubs. If North rebids a major, South can go to game.
If North bids two notrump, South will bid three spades and then four hearts (unless North raises to four spades).

If North rebids two diamonds, South will bid two spades; then only three hearts over two notrump. North could pass three hearts in this sequence with a minimum hand and strength largely in the minors. South, conversely, can pass three spades if North belatedly gives only a preference by bidding three spades over three hearts, very exact information as to the holdings having been exchanged.
«KJxxx - AJxxx *x
South bids three spades, not two clubs. South knows he will go to game in spades or hearts irrespective of the strength or distribution of the notrump hand. Note, therefore, that showing a 5-5 two-suiter denies this strength when initiated by a twoclub response as in the previous example.

```
4Jxx *xx *KQxxx *10xx
```

South bids two clubs. The purpose here is simply to find the strength of the notrump. If North rebids two diamonds, South may pass, but may risk a two-notrump bid.

Here is a hand from the recent Vanderbilt Cup Tournament which displays the convention:


## FallFest Sectional

Lindner Center, 660 E. Butterfield Rd, Lombard, IL
0-299 "I/N" Schedule
Friday, November 14
10:30 a.m. I/N Stratified Pairs
3:30 p.m. I/N Stratified Pairs, finished by 6:00 7:30 p.m. I/N Stratified Pairs
Saturday, November 15
1:00 p.m. I/N Stratified Pairs
6:30 p.m. I/N Stratified Pairs
Sunday, November 16
11:00 a.m. 299er Stratified Swiss Teams 0-100, 100-200, 200-300

Blythe Findley, tournament chairman
blytheof@comcast.net, 708-409-5009

## WinterFest Sectional

European Crystal Banquet Center
519 W. Algonquin Road Arlington Heights, IL
All single session events
Stratification for Future Intermediate/Newcomers 0-20 / 20-50 / 50-100, 100-200, 200-300
Friday, January 23
10:30 I/N Stratified Pairs
3:30 I/N Stratified Pairs
8:00 I/N Stratified Pairs
Saturday, January 24
1:30 I/N Stratified Pairs 8:00 I/N Stratified Pairs


Sunday, January 25
11:00 I/N Stratified 299 Swiss Teams (2 Separate Sessions, more Silver Points!)
Jan Churchwell, tournament chairman
847-714-1942, 847-373-3569 cell
jan8242@gmail.com


> Bid your games and slams each day... That's how you improve your play!
(Continued from page 7)
2* - The conventional bid. In this case, North expects to get to some game. Initiating this sequence will give a choice between notrump and hearts.

2- Conventionally denies a biddable four-card major suit, shows the hand is a minimum one-notrump bid. (Four Aces count $10 \frac{1}{2}$. This is the bottom.)
$2 v$ - The heart suit must be of five cards, or else it would not be offered when South has denied having four hearts.
$3 \boldsymbol{v}$ - This raise can not be misread. South has shown he doesn't have four hearts and that he has a minimum notrump.

3NT - North could have no more even distribution and still have a five-card suit; and he has already shown his five-card suit. He bids three notrump to show that his distribution is proper for notrump play, in spite of the five-card suit. With 5-4-3-1 or 5-4-2-2, North would bid four hearts at this point.
$4 \boldsymbol{\vee}$ - Reading all of North's bids together, South realizes that North has 5-3-3-2 distribution. South also knows that North cannot have a very strong hand, because the strength of the two-club response is limited. Assuming that North has 5-3-3-2 distribution and somewhere between 2 and $21 / 2$ tricks, why did North try to get into a major suit instead of raising the notrump bid earlier? Probably because North has his strength concentrated in two suits - say, with a good heart suit and one outside ace. If this ace is in diamonds, of course, three notrump can probably be run off;but if the ace is in one of the black suits (which is twice as likely), there will be only one stopper in the other black suit and three notrump will probably go down. Even if North has the ace of diamonds, there will still be a good play for four hearts.
This extra-fine bid won its just reward. Four hearts was made easily. Three notrump would have been beaten with any opening.
Sam Stayman (1909-1993) of Palm Beach FL was a leading bridge administrator, an innovator, an author and a successful business man. Stayman's name became a household word in bridge circles following the publication of the above article. He was LM \#48 and is a member of the ACBL Bridge Hall of Fame. Stayman was a Diamond LM with more than 8,000 points at the time of his death. He was the ACBL Honorary Member of the Year in 1969. Stayman won all of the major National Titles a number of times.
George Rapee (1915-1999) of New York City was an attorney and real estate investor. Rapee was $L M \# 44$ and is a member of the ACBL Bridge Hall of Fame. He won three world championships and 25 North American titles to be noted as one of the most successful and talented players of all time.

Tournament Results:
Central States Regional, October 21-27, Lake Geneva, Wisconsin


Friday Aft I/N Pairs - 9.5 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.83 | 1 | 1 |  | Judy Anderson - Bunny Hultman, Chicago IL | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| 2.12 | 2 |  |  | Judith Ann Bittner, Hinsdale IL; Ann Shewman, Lombard IL | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3 6 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 8 0}$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | Donna Kenski, Libertyville IL; Joseph McCormack, Tallahassee FL | $\mathbf{5 5 . 8 0 \%}$ |
| 1.35 | 4 | 3 |  | Mary Warren, Wheaton IL; Carolyn Satrum, Downers Grove IL | $\mathbf{5 4 . 9 6 \%}$ |
| 1.34 | 5 | 4 | 2 | Sharon Thomas - Patricia Ryan, Springfield IL | $\mathbf{5 4 . 9 4 \%}$ |
| 0.99 | 6 | 5 |  | Michele Pockross - James Pockross, Wilmette IL | $\mathbf{5 4 . 3 3 \%}$ |
| 1.01 |  |  | 3 | Michael Kramer - Vicki Kramer, Addison IL | $53.87 \%$ |
| 0.76 |  |  | 4urt Litscher - Janet Litscher, Greendale WI | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8 8 \%}$ |  |

Saturday Aft 299er Pairs - 7.0 Tables


$$
\text { Sunday 299er Swiss - } 5 \text { Tables }
$$

MPs A B Names
2.1211 Virginia Zabski, Trevor WI; Corinne Laluzerne, Lake Villa IL; Edward Gordon - Shirley Mitchem, Fox Lake IL
1.592 Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL; Karen Anderson, Batavia IL; Bunny Hultman - Judy Anderson, Chicago IL

Sunday 299er Swiss II - 3 Tables
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { MPs } & \text { Rank } & \text { Names } \\ \text { 1.84 } & 1 & \text { Howard Emmerman - Fern Emmerman, Riverwoods IL; Jeffrey Rabin, Lincolnshire IL; Michael Stein, Highland Park IL }\end{array}$
Milwaukee FallFest, Glendale, WI, October 3-5
Friday Morning 299ers - 5.0 Tables


## Friday Aft 299ers - 5.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.51 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1.13 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 0.85 | 3 | 3 |  |
| 0.75 | $4 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | $2 / 3$ |
| 0.75 | $4 / 5$ | $4 / 5$ | $2 / 3$ |


| Friday Aft 299ers - 5.0 Tabses |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Names |  |
| Charles Lerner - Carolyn Ross, Shorewood WI | $\mathbf{6 2 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Kris Stephens, West Bend WI; Marcia Kleinerman | $\mathbf{5 7 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| Marilyn Zierten - Rose Zicarelli, Racine WI | $\mathbf{5 2 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Patricia Diel, Milwaukee WI; Edith Meldman, WI | $\mathbf{5 1 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Maggie Topitzes, Milwaukee WI; Marlene Frey | $\mathbf{5 1 . 0 0 \%}$ |

(Continued from page 9)

|  |  | Saturday Morn 299ers - 6.0 Tables |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| 1.61 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Stephen Blitz, Fitchburg WI; Carol Lee, Middleton WI | $\mathbf{5 9 . 9 6 \%}$ |
| 1.21 | 2 | 2 |  | Kris Stephens, West Bend WI; Marcia Kleinerman | $59.27 \%$ |
| 0.91 | 3 | 3 |  | Richard Krueger - Thomas Krueger, Milwaukee WI | $\mathbf{5 7 . 9 1 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 3}$ | 4 | 4 | 2 | Adrian Richfield - Barbara Blackburn, Waukesha WI | $\mathbf{5 7 . 3 7 \%}$ |

## Saturday Aft 299er Pairs - 4.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 1}$ | 1 | 1 | Adrian Richfield - Barbara Blackburn, Waukesha WI | $\mathbf{5 6 . 9 4 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 0 6}$ | 2 |  | Roslyn Krause, Milwaukee WI; Marcia Kleinerman, Land O Lakes WI | $\mathbf{5 4 . 8 6 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 9}$ | 3 | 2 | Cheryl Lutz, Port Washington WI; Christine Balistreri, Mequon WI | $\mathbf{5 2 . 0 8 \%}$ |

Eau Claire Sectionsl, Eau Claire, WI, October 17-19

MPs

6

|  |  |  | 299er Pairs - 4.0 Tables |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | B | C | Names | Score |
| 1 | 1 |  | Keith Anderson - Mary Anderson, Rochester MN | $\mathbf{5 7 . 1 4 \%}$ |
| 2 | 2 |  | Susan Thiess, Eau Claire WI; Stacy Dibbell, Bismarck ND | $\mathbf{5 5 . 3 6 \%}$ |
| 3 |  |  | Beverly Mai - Edith Syth, Eau Claire WI | $\mathbf{5 1 . 1 9 \%}$ |

Labor Day Sectional, Skokie, IL, August 29-Septemer 1
Sunday 299er Swiss I-10 Tables

| A B Names Friday Evening 299ers - 4.0 Tables |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1/2 |  | Lawrence | rg IL; Genio Staranczak |
| 1/2 | 1 | Karen An | Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL |
| 3 |  | Barbara | ; Karen Trine, Chicago IL |
|  | 2 | Relli Mill | Joan Pielet, Boca Raton FL |
| A B C Names Friday Morn 299er - 13.0 Tables |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

11 John Shelton, Wilmette IL; Michael Shelton, Winnetka IL

2 Karen Anderson, Batavia IL; Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL

## Score

Score
Score
$\mathbf{6 3 . 1 9 \%}$
63.19\%
58.33\%
47.22\%


63.26\%
$\mathbf{6 0 . 6 1 \%}$

Gunilla Flater, Highland Park IL; Jim Peterson
Barbara Schwartz - R Schwartz, Morton Grove IL 54.73\%
3/4 Kathleen Gerbosi, Glenview IL; Ilse Bridges, Winnetka IL $\mathbf{5 0 . 9 5 \%}$
3/4 Bobbi Gordon, Skokie IL; Charlotte Katz, Wilmette IL $\quad \mathbf{5 0 . 9 5 \%}$
52 Leslee Johnson, Palatine IL; Patricia Lennon $50.76 \%$
3 Robert Margolis - Sherry Margolis, Northbrook IL $\mathbf{4 9 . 8 1 \%}$
Friday Aft 299ers - 11.0 Tables

| C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | J Thomas Johnson - Barbara Chasnoff, Park Ridge IL | $\mathbf{6 5 . 4 8 \%}$ |
|  | Relli Miller, Lincolnwood IL; Joan Pielet, Boca Raton FL | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 0 \%}$ |
|  | Karen Anderson, Batavia IL; Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL | $\mathbf{6 1 . 1 1 \%}$ |
|  | Loretta Wexler - Pearl Freedman, Skokie IL | $\mathbf{5 6 . 7 5 \%}$ |
| 1 | Catherine Westbrook, Winnetka IL; Doug Fischer, Des Plaines IL | $\mathbf{5 4 . 7 6 \%}$ |
| 2 | Arlene Novak - Bob Novak, Highland Park IL | $\mathbf{5 3 . 1 7 \%}$ |
|  | John Flershem - Robert McDonnell, Palos Heights IL | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 8 \%}$ |
|  | Robert Quintin, Saint Charles IL; Mary Warren, Wheaton IL | $\mathbf{5 0 . 7 9 \%}$ |
| 3/4 | Timothy Kleimeyer, Sleepy Hollow IL; Stephen Chesek | $\mathbf{4 9 . 2 1 \%}$ |
| 3/4 | Max Brock - Robert Brock, Highland Park IL | $\mathbf{4 9 . 2 1 \%}$ |

## (Continued from page 10)

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ | 1 | 1 |  | Karen Anderson, Batavia IL; Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 6 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1 3}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | Jim Field - Louise McInerney, Wilmette IL | $\mathbf{5 6 . 8 1 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 5}$ | 3 | 3 |  | Loretta Wexler, Skokie IL; Kent Vlautin, Chicago IL | $\mathbf{5 2 . 2 6 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ | 4 | 4 | 2 | Sid Bennett - Valerie Bennett, Chicago IL | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8 1 \%}$ |



## Sunday Eve 299er Pairs - 4.0 Tables

| MPs | A B | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.41 | 1 | Diane Shachter - Howard Shachter, Deerfield IL | $\mathbf{6 3 . 8 9 \%}$ |
| 1.06 | 2 | Susan O'Connor, Chicago IL; Jean Falk, Skokie IL | $\mathbf{6 1 . 8 1 \%}$ |
| 0.79 | 3 | Iliana Rozemberg, Northbrook IL; Ruth Kuncel, Elmhurst IL | $\mathbf{5 9 . 7 2 \%}$ |

Bob Charlson Memorial Sectional, Dousman, WI, August 1-3

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.61 | 1 |  |  | Ron Ramaker, Brown Deer WI; Susan Zellin, Glendale WI | $\mathbf{5 9 . 3 8 \%}$ |
| 1.43 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Shirley Adams, Appleton WI; Cyann Martin, Neenah WI | $\mathbf{5 8 . 8 5 \%}$ |
| 1.07 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Barbara Schuelke, Menomonee Falls WI; Melodee Curtes, Hartford WI | $\mathbf{5 7 . 8 1 \%}$ |
| 0.80 | 4 | 3 |  | Jerald Schlais, Dousman WI; Wes Burzynski, Waukesha WI | $\mathbf{5 4 . 6 9 \%}$ |
| 0.67 |  | 4 | 3 | Joan Koenig - Paul Tharman, Pewaukee WI | $\mathbf{5 4 . 1 7 \%}$ |


|  |  |  | Saturday Aft 299er Pairs - 4.5 Tables |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| 1.51 | 1 |  |  | Marlene Backus - Janet Holmes, Brookfield WI | $\mathbf{5 6 . 2 5 \%}$ |
| 1.33 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Robert Mehn, Bradenton FL; Lois Tankerley, | $\mathbf{5 4 . 8 6 \%}$ |
| 0.77 | $3 / 5$ | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | Marilyn Barsi - Paul Barsi, Plover WI | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3 1 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 7}$ | $3 / 5$ | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | J Barrett Graf - Mary Sue Graf, Pewaukee WI | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3 1 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 7}$ | $3 / 5$ | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | Shirley Adams, Appleton WI; Cyann Martin, Neenah WI | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3 1 \%}$ |



Congratulations to everyone!!

We hope you had fun winning all these points.

## 299er Regional

Friday, March 27, 2009
1:30 p.m. Stratified 49er Pairs
Stratified 299er Pairs
Compact Knockout, Sessions 1 \& 2
7:00 p.m. Stratified 49er Pairs
Stratified 299er Pairs
Compact Knockout, Sessions 3 \& Final
Saturday, March 28, 2009
9:00 a.m. FREE LESSON \& BRIDGE+ GAME (0-20)
Stratified 299er Pairs
1:30 p.m. Stratified 49er Pairs
Stratified 299er Pairs
Compact Knockout, Sessions 1 \& 2
7:00 p.m. Stratified 49er Pairs
Stratified 299er Pairs
Compact Knockout, Sessions 3 \& 4
Sunday, March 29, 2009
9:00 a.m. \& TBA Stratified 299er Swiss Teams
Stratified 299er Pairs
Single Sessions - Lunch Provided

## Play with just other Newcomers \& Intermediates! <br> New Player Service volunteers on hand! <br> Partners Guaranteed! 30 minutes before game time FREE entry for ACBL members with 0 to 5 mps ! FREE Lesson \& Bridge+ Game for $<20 \mathrm{mps}$ ! <br> FREE Bridge Tips! Friday and Saturday at 6:15 p.m. <br> Prizes Every Session! <br> Trophies for Best Two Sessions \& Best Overall! All masterpoints are red!

Stratification (unless noted) -
49er games: C-0-5, B- 5-20, A- 20-49
299er games: C- 0-100, B-100-200, A-200-299
Sanction\# RN 0903133

## Spring Sectional

Friday, March 27, 2009
1:30 p.m. Stratified Open Pairs
Compact Knockout, Sessions 1 \& 2
7:00 p.m. Stratified Pair/Teams
Compact Knockout, Sessions 3 \& Final
Saturday, March 28, 2009
9:00 a.m. Stratified Open Pairs
Bracketed Knockouts, Session 1
1:30 p.m. Stratified Open Pairs
Bracketed Knockout, Session 2
7:00 p.m. Stratified Pair/Teams
Bracketed Knockouts, Final
Sunday, March 29, 2009
9:00 a.m. \& TBA Stratified Open Swiss Teams
(Team Averaged)
Single sessions - Lunch Provided
Special Events:
8:45 a.m. Saturday WUMBA Board Meeting
(Motion to continue meeting at 5:00 p.m.)
5:00 p.m. Saturday WUMBA Board Meeting at dinner (Continued from morning, if approved)
9:00 a.m. Sunday prior to game
WUMBA Annual Meeting and Presentation of 2008 Medallion and Certificate Awards (Continental Breakfast provided)

## Refreshments! <br> Door Prizes!

Stratification (unless noted) -
Open games: C- 0-750, B-750-2000, A- $2000+$
Partners Guaranteed - 30 minutes before game time
Sanction\# SN SN 0903076

Lodging: Settle Inn \& Suites-Appleton (1/2 mile), 1565 N Federated Dr., Appleton, WI (920) 560-3000
$\$ 89.95+$ tax including breakfast \& shuttle service to The Wave Ballroom
Best Western Midway Hotel, (2.66 miles) 3033 W. College Ave., Appleton, (920) 731-4141 or (800) 482-3879. Group code 94G - $\$ 79.00$ + tax including breakfast.
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## Upcoming Tournaments

FallFest Sectional, Lombard, IL, Nov. 14-16
Mad City Sectional, Fitchburg, WI, Dec. 5-7
Wisconsin Holiday Regional, Lake Geneva, WI, Dec. 26-30
WinterFest Sectional, Arlington Height, IL, Jan. 23-25

## Bidding More ...

In team games, it usually pays to bid aggressively. Bidding thin games can increase your wins significantly. It can also improve your play. You would be surprised how much better you concentrate and how much more you realize about the game when you need ONE MORE TRICK to make your contract.

Too often, novices downplay their own abilities and underbid. Yes, that usually yields a plus score... but often that plus is not enough. And remember, opponents don't always defend perfectly. It is harder to defend a contract when you don't know how close it really is!!

Remember that you can access the most recent Hand-Outs from the District 13 Regionals at:

> http://acbl-district13.org/RegionalHandouts.htm

They are left online until the next year or two when the same tournament is again held.


WISCONSIN HOLIDAY REGIONAL, December 26-30, 2008
Grand Geneva Resort and Spa, Lake Geneva, WI on Route 50, 1/2 mile East of Route 12
Room rate: \$79 + \$12 resort fee, single or double Call 262-248-8811/800-558-3417 by 12/1 to reserve your room Chairman: Marilynn Charlson (262) 646-2246 mcharlson@wi.rr.com Ev Schneider, Partnerships
I/N Chairman: Lynette Koski Llk220@aol.com
Schedule of Events:
Friday, December 26 2:00 \& 7:30 - 299er Stratified Pairs Single Sessions Saturday - Monday, December 27-29 10:00, 3:00 \& 7:30 299er Stratified Pairs Single Sessions Tuesday, December 30 10:00 299er Stratified Swiss Teams Red Points for all "IN" Games - Guest Speakers

