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Let's review the odds of how cards tend to divide between the opponents in our long suit. Generally, an even number of combined cards held by the opponents will break unevenly, while an odd number of cards will break evenly for the opponents.
Number of Missing Cards Likely Distribution

| 3 (odd number) | $2-1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 (even number) | $3-1$ |
| 5 (odd number) | $3-2$ |
| 6 (even number) | $4-2$ |
| 7 (odd number) | $4-3$ |

Assuming you cannot get to the dummy hand (North) in another suit, how do you play these suits?

## AK 9876

## 32

We also anticipate a $3-2$ break with our 8 card suit. To win 5 tricks, we duck the first trick playing a low dummy card from the dummy, then winning the second and third trick to capture the opponents' remaining cards.

## K 872

## A 54

Here we expect a 4-2 split so most of time we will only win two tricks. But if we have no better strategy, we can hope for a 3-3 split ( 36 percent chance) of the opponents' cards. If we get the lucky suit break, we can take 3 tricks. We employ a play similar to the prior hand, ducking the first trick, then playing the Ace and King, hoping to be rewarded winning 3 tricks with the 13th card in the suit when opponents each hold 3 cards in the suit.

As declarer with five or more trump, a common strategy is to first draw trump and then obtain extra tricks by using our small trump winners. Depending on the lie of the cards, this tactic is often appropriate in long side suits. Developing a long suit is also a primary tactic when playing a Notrump contract. In fact, promotions are not the exclusive property of the declarer. This is why defenders lead fourth from their longest and strongest against no-trump contracts. An opponent hopes to get his suit established before declarer can establish his own in order to take the maximum tricks and maybe even defeat the contract. A defender may lead his own long suit to do this, or his partner's long suit, if he knows what that is.

Be a good partner... As declarer, always say, "thank you" when the dummy is faced.

When necessary, you want to avoid blocking suits. Sometimes it is right to sacrifice a high spot card (a nine or ten, for example) in order to avoid blocking a suit. Blocking a suit means stranding good cards in a hand with no entries. Here's a hand fragment. West led the Spade Jack in an attempt to promote his long suit.


Declarer should win the trick with the Spade King (keeping Spade Ace for an entry to dummy) and switch to the Heart Queen - the high card from the short side, allowing opponents to win their Heart Ace. The opponents cannot profit by continuing Spades; North's Hearts have been established.

If South plays a low heart to dummy's king, the opponents can knockout dummy's spade entry and the heart suit is blocked.

Given the great spot cards that North/South hold in the suit, declarer could actually lead the queen of hearts to the king to be sure this doesn't happen.

You, South, are declarer, playing a 3NT contract:

- K4
- AT4
- AKT874
* T5

- A5
- Q95
- 62
* A97642

West leads the Spade Queen. Looking at our quick tricks, we have 2 Spades, 1 Heart, 2 Diamonds, and 1 Club - 6 tricks from 9 so we need to find 3 more. Developing the Diamond suit is our best bet. We win the Spade Ace, just in case we need an extra entry to the dummy since the Diamond suit is there. Opponents will usually hold 3-2 with five outstanding cards, so we play up to our Diamond Ace followed by our Diamond King happy to see opponents both follow with four of their five cards. We play one more round of diamonds, conceding a trick to the opponents and we've established the three extra tricks we need to make our contract.

Here's a hand that looks similar, but the lack of dummy entries requires careful planning.

- 64
- QT4
- AKT874
* T5

- AK
- A95
- 62
* A97642

Again, West leads the Spade Gueen, and again, you, South, are playing 3NT. We have the same six quick tricks, but we've moved the Spade King to South. Again the Diamond suit promotion is our plan, but this time we don't have the precious Spade entry. Earlier, we "ducked" (played low) to a six card suit headed by the Ace and King to setup our long suit. Let's try the same thing here. We win the Spade King. Again, we hope opponents are 3-2 in Diamonds, but this time we play a low Diamond - ducking with a low card in the dummy. The opponents win the trick and continue the Spade suit, looking to promote their own suit. We win the trick with our remaining Spade King stopper, and now play our Diamond Ace. We are delighted to see opponents both follow suit. We can pull their last diamond and again, our suit is established. If we had played the diamond suit from the top down by playing the ace and king first, we could knockout the last diamond, but the good diamonds would be stranded in dummy and we could not get there to enjoy them.

Now let's try a 3 Notrump contract for South, looking at all four hands:

- KQ
- 8654
- 76
* KT983

- A32
- AQJ
- QJT98
* A 2

Be a good partner: Always say, "good luck partner" when facing the dummy!

It is just as easy to be polite as it is to be rude!

- KQ
- 8654
- 76
* KT983

- A 3
- AQJT
- QJT98
* A2
hopefully you will have an appreciation of the repeated finesse coupled with creating an entry by unblocking.
As usual, West begins with the Spade Jack. As we saw above, we are one trick too late if we work on the Diamond suit. While we will probably win four Clubs and two Spade tricks, the opponents will win three or more Spade tricks and two Diamonds to set 3NT. Perhaps South can try a repeated finesse in Hearts this time.

So after the Spade Jack lead, let's play dummy's King - not the Ace. We want to begin our Heart finesses right away. After winning the first trick, dummy plays a Heart to South's 10 and the trick holds (East chose not to play the King). Next we win the Club Ace - this time West plays an unhelpful 27 . Still, we play a second Club to dummy’s King. We continue the Club promotion, knocking out East's remaining Jack - his last possible winning card in the suit.

Here is the position at this point.
What shall we pitch from South?

- K
- 865
- 76
* T98
- 9
- AK2
$\%$

$\rightarrow A$
- AQJ
- QJT98
$\%$

Before throwing a Diamond from the South hand, remember that we desperately need dummy entries to cash the promoted Club suit and repeat the Heart finesse.

Unfortunately, there are no more entries when South holds the Spade Ace, so it appears we cannot get the King. Yet when we stop to think about it for a moment, we know the dummy's King is just as good as our Ace. Pitching South's spade ace will afford us a precious dummy entry!

While this is a dramatic unblocking tactic, it is an example of great technique in a desperate situation.
Though South has no more spades to lead to the king, the opponents are sure to continue their attack on the suit when they get in with the jack of clubs. This will put you on the dummy and solve all your entry problems.

You will collect two spades, four hearts, and four clubs for ten tricks.

West opens with 1a and South ends up playing 3NT. West leads the optimistic about promoting his long Spade suit with two Diamond entries. North wins the $\uparrow$. Should South take the Heart finesse or try to set up the long Diamonds? We can see the finesse fails, West persisting with a second Spade to North's King. Unfortunately for South, it's now one trick too late to promote Diamonds - West wins one Heart, two Diamonds and three Spades, and the contract fails by two tricks.

A better tactic for South is to immediately tackle diamonds... win a Spade, lose a Diamond, win a Spade, lose a Diamond, and win the last Spade. That establishes declarer's long suit while knocking out defender's entries in a timely fashion. Declarer will lose only two diamonds and one heart and make his contract with an overtrick.

Let's exchange the spade two for the heart ten on this same hand and see how it influences the play: Let's take a seemingly unimportant Spade 2 away from South to illustrate how it can influence the declarer's tactics.

- KQ
- 8654
- 76
- KT983

Be a good opponent... Always say, "hello" when newcomers arrive at your table, and "good luck" when they leave!


- A3
- AQJT
- QJT98
- A2

From the standpoint of declarer, this would seem a great deal! But now when West leads the $\Delta J$, we have only two spade stoppers and can no longer make the hand. Win a Spade, lose a Diamond, win a Spade lose a Diamond, and now West is home free winning two Diamonds and four Spades. What went wrong?

With only two spade stoppers, West is one trick ahead in the promotion race.
How about the repeated Heart finesse? Sorry, even if it worked, we only have eight tricks.
Also, considering we have 28 HCP (high card points) and West opened (see above), it's hard to imagine East holding a King; 40 points in the deck minus our 28, and West probably opened with 12 points so East is surely a bust.
How about a heart finesse combined with a suit promotion? Based on our math calculation (40$28=12$ ), West likely has at least one Club honor. So South begins with the Club Ace, the high card from the short side, and feels some relief when an honor appears from West. Continuing with a second Club, declarer is overjoyed to see the other missing club honor, giving him five club tricks, three heart tricks, and two spade tricks. What a lucky lie for declarer!

Notice how giving up the tiny Spade led us to changing our promotion strategy from Diamonds to Clubs. Bridge is interesting that way, illustrating how such a little card can make a big difference.

For our final rendition, let's give poor East the Heart King, a second small Heart and a Club honor. So this time West will not open the bidding. Note: while the play is tricky on this hand,
(Continued on page 4)

## Ms. Information ...

April 4, 2008

Dear Ms.,
In the latest edition of I/N News (received today), page 6 - Ethics... is Dummy allowed/ supposed to correct the declarer if the declarer starts to play from the wrong side of the table, i.e., from the board when he/she should be in his/her hand or vice-versa?

Thank you.
Barb Stone

Dear Barb,
The dummy is most certainly entitled to attempt to prevent declarer from leading from the wrong hand. There are, however, some caveats.

If declarer starts to play a card from his hand but has not exposed the card to the table, the dummy may point out to declarer that he is in dummy and declarer must then lead from dummy without penalty.

If declarer starts to play a card from his hand, face up, touching or nearly touching the table, this is considered a played card. Either opponent, without discussion with one another, may choose to accept this lead and play proceeds normally.

If neither defender accepts the lead, declarer replaces the card in his hand and leads from dummy. The declarer's exposed card is not a penalty card.

Similarly, if declarer starts to call for a card from dummy but has not named a rank or suit, dummy may attempt to stop declarer from playing incorrectly. If a rank or suit has been stipulated, declarer must finish his designation of the card to be played, then the same options apply as if declarer had led incorrectly from his hand.

Hope this answers your question.
Thanks for writing.
Ms.

Be welcome in the field... Don't discuss hands between rounds. At the end of the event, pick up hand records and discuss the hands then.

## Green Bay Sectional

Radisson Hotel \& Conference Center 2040 Airport Drive
Green Bay, WI 54313
(920) 494-7300


Friday, July 18 1:30 PM Stratified 199er Pairs
7:00 PM Stratified 199er Pairs
Saturday, July 19 9:00 AM Pro-Am KOs (1st round)
1:30 PM Pro-Am Knockouts (2nd round) Stratified 199er Pairs
7:00 PM Pro-Am (final round) Stratified 199er Pairs
Sunday, July 20 10:00 AM Stratified 199er Swiss Teams

```
Co-Chair: Sharon Basal (920) 468-4722 s basal@yahoo.com
Co-Chair: Rochelle Andress (920) 662-0823 bandress@new.rr.com
```


## Point Count and Suit Length from 5th Chair

Well, you've just received 13 cards. You can see all of them, can tell how good your suits (spades, hearts, diamonds, and clubs) are. But your partner holds the other 13 cards that your partnership has. You'd like to know what cards she holds so that you'd know just how good your partnership's cards are. But, unlike Go Fish, you can't say, "Got any kings?" You have to tell partner about your hand using bids (next lesson). Partner will tell you about her hand using bids.

The key is to learn as much about partner's hand AND tell partner as much as you can about your hand using these bids so that one of you knows enough to decide, "Where to play the hand?" (What suit will be trumps?) and "How high should we be playing?" (How many tricks can we take?).

But before you can start bidding (describing your hand to your partner), you have to be able to determine (analyze the hand) what, if anything, to say about it (or bid).

In each suit, there are 13 cards. The highest card is the Ace (A) and the lowest card is the 2 . The entire order from highest to lowest is: A K Q JT98765432. Therefore, the most important cards are the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Q}$, and J . That's not to say the other cards are unimportant. But they're less important. The more AKOJs you hold, the more tricks you will be able to take.

Since you can't tell partner, "I hold two kings, two queens and one jack," a method of describing how good your cards are has been developed. Most bridge players use the "Milton Work Point Count" system to come up with a general NUMERICAL description of how good a hand is.

In this point-counting method, EACH Ace is worth four points, EACH King is worth 3 points, EACH Queen is worth 2 points, and EACH Jack is worth 1 point. The higher the total point count, the more tricks you're LIKELY to be able to take. For most players, this is the first step in hand analysis.

So, your first step is to total up the "high card points" (HCP) you have. For example:

> Watch the time clock... remember, Bridge is a timed event!

| Hand \#2 |  | Hand \#3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -T987 | 0 | ^AKGT987 | $4+3+2$ |
| - AKQ | $4+3+2$ | - | 0 |
| -5432 | 0 | - 5432 | 0 |
| *T9 | $0=9$ | *T9 | $0=9$ |

Since there are four of each (AKQJ) in the deck of cards, there are 40 HCP TOTAL in the deck. On an average hand, you'll hold 10 points. If you hold 11 , you hold just a bit more than average.

Looking at hands \#2 and \#3, there are a lot more spades in \#3. The smaller cards in this suit may win tricks because your opponents may not have any left after they follow suit to the A, K, and Q (the hand has "length in the suit").

So, a modification to the point count is used to add points for long suits, because they have more trick-taking potential. Thus, for each card more than four in any suit, you can add ONE (1) point to the total HCP you just calculated. Example \#1 has only four, or fewer, card suits so 0 (zero) legnth points are added. The same is true for the second example.

However, \#3 has a seven card spade suit (three more than the basic four), so the point total is modified to $9 \mathrm{HCP}+3$ points (length in spades) for a total of 12 points.

SummerFest Regional, Waterford Banquet Center
933 S. Riverside Drive, Elmhurst, IL July 7-13, 2008
Chair: Tom Sucher 630-894-4048, tsucher@juno.com Partnership: Carl Sharp 773-483-3734, IBSharp2@sbcglobal.net
Newcomer: Jan Churchwell 847-714-1942, jan8242@gmail.com Schedule of Events Intermediate/Newcomer 299er Program All masterpoints are red points.
Guest Speaker Program. See Daily Bulletin for details. Monday 7:30 I/N Stratified Charity Pairs
Tuesday through Saturday 1:30 \& 7:30 Single Sessions Sunday 11:00 am \& 2:00, 299er Stratified Swiss Teams


Easy walk to restaurants or the Seafood Festival in the Harbor all weekend!

## Bob Charlson Memorial Tournament <br> Dousman Lions Club on Main Street in Dousman, WI August 1 - August 3, 2008



Friday, 9:30am Stratified I/N Pairs 2:00pm Stratified I/N Pairs
7:30pm I/N Pair/Team Event
Saturday, 9:30am Stratified I/N Pairs 2:00pm Stratified I/N Pairs
7:30pm I/N Pair/Team Event
Sunday, 10:00am Stratified I/N Swiss Teams (2 session playthru - lunch included)

0-5 Masterpoint Holders play free!!
Partnerships and Info: Marilynn Charlson 262-646-2246

## On Bidding from Fifth Chair

Once you can count the total points your hand is worth (and therefore, have an idea of how many tricks you might be able to take), you need to tell partner about your points and the suits you like, and you want to hear what partner has to say about hers. This is done using bids. This is the only way bridge players talk about their hands. The bidding starts with the person who dealt the cards (dealer) and continues in a clockwise rotation. Every person must make a bid when it is their turn until the bidding is over.

One bid is "Pass." It tells everyone (including partner) that you didn't have enough points to be able to afford a different bid in that particular situation. The number of points you need to bid in various situations will be discussed in later lessons. A pass can be made anytime it's your turn to bid. The bidding ends when either all players have passed on their first bid, or three consecutive players have passed.

Another bid consists of two parts: a number ranging from 1 to 7 (the level of the bid), and a suit (clubs, diamonds, hearts, spades, or no-trump). The level refers to the number of tricks, over six tricks (which is book), your side can take. The suits are given a ranking (from lowest to highest) of Clubs, Diamonds, Hearts, Spades, and No- Trump. Thus, 1s is suggesting that your side can take seven (6+1) tricks with spades as the trump suit. (Trumps and taking tricks are a later lesson.)

There are some limitations on when you can bid with these bids though. If you want to make a bid at the same level as the last non-pass, non-double, or non-redouble bid, you must bid it in a higher ranking suit. That is, if someone has already bid $1 \$$, you can bid $1 \downarrow$ or $1 \&$ or $1 N T$, but NOT $1 *$. Clubs is a lower suit than diamonds. If you want to bid a lower ranking suit, you have to bid it at a higher level. Thus, if someone has already bid $1 \$$, you CAN bid 2*. (Same level requires a higher ranking suit. Higher level can be in any suit.) The highest 1level bid is 1NT. Therefore, the highest bid anyone can make is 7NT (highest level, highest ranking suit).

The other two bids are double (" X " will be used for double in these lessons) and redouble ("XX" will be used for this.) Double can be used after your *opponents* have made the highest bid with any number of intervening passes. Thus, if the opponent sitting on your left (left-hand opponent: LHO) bids $1 \downarrow$, your partner passes, and the opponent sitting on your right (right-hand opponent: RHO) passes, (which might be represented $1-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}-$ ? where the ? refers to your bid), it is possible to double. If your partner bid $1 \star$, and the opponent sitting on your right passes, you CANNOT double. The last bid made was by YOUR partnership.

If your opponents have doubled and there have been no passes or passes up to you, you can make the bid XX. Just as with X , you cannot XX if your side was the last one to bid the double.

## Always Be Polite. When calling the director, always say, "Director, please!!" Same for calling the caddies!


99er Swiss - 3 Tables
MPs Rank Names
$1.111 \quad$ Rose Marie Johnson - Louise Pearson - Viola Laine - Linda Sherbinow, Marquette MI
Stars Of Tomorrow 299er-Palatine, May $3-4,2008$

Stars Of Tomorrow 299er-Palatine, May 3-4,2008
Saturday Aft Pairs - 11.5 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.22 | 1 |  |  | Linda Rough, Lake Zurich IL; Nancy Kisten, Hawthorn Woods IL | 63.88\% |
| 1.81 | 2 | 1 |  | Char Parks - Gordon Parks, Long Grove IL | 61.30\% |
| 1.25 | 3 |  |  | Lisa Frese, Lake Barrington IL; Diane Treslo, Rolling Meadows IL | 58.35\% |
| 1.36 | 4 | 2 |  | Lynn Ulreich - John Ulreich, Frankfort IL | 57.07\% |
| 0.70 | 5 |  |  | Gunilla Flater, Highland Park IL; Jim Peterson, Lincolnshire IL | 55.94\% |
| 0.56 | 6 |  |  | J Thomas Johnson - Barbara Chasnoff, Park Ridge IL | 55.94\% |
| 1.36 |  | 3 | 1 | Karen Anderson, Batavia IL; Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL | 54.87\% |
| 1.02 |  | 4 | 2 | Karin Tappendorf, Kenilworth IL; Mary Lu O'Malley, Wilmette IL | 54.69\% |
| 0.57 |  | 5 |  | Marlene Zielinski, Burr Ridge IL; Ann Shewman, Lombard IL | 53.82\% |
| 0.77 |  |  | 3 | Kathleen Gerbosi, Glenview IL; Ilse Bridges, Winnetka IL | 49.51\% |
| 0.57 |  |  | 4 | Judith Polo, Westmont IL; Carolyn Satrum, Downers Grove IL | 48.13\% |

Saturday Compact KO - 6 Tables
MPs Rank Names
2.861 Michael Sittinger - Shelia Sittinger, Lombard IL; Mary Warren, Wheaton IL; Celeste Jacklin, Aurora 2.002 Doug Fischer, Des Plaines IL; Barbara Bebee, Lake Forest IL; Tom Francia - Karen Trine, Chicago IL

Saturday PM Pairs - 10.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score | ( |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.02 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Lisa Heitman - Jay Heitman, Wilmette IL | $\mathbf{7 0 . 5 4 \%}$ |  |
| 1.52 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Bob Dietrick, Brentwood Mexico; Robert Dietrick, Alexandria VA | $58.93 \%$ |  |
| 1.14 | 3 | 3 |  | Lynn Ulreich - John Ulreich, Frankfort IL | $57.74 \%$ |  |
| 0.85 | 4 |  |  | Loretta Sullivan - D Kelly Sullivan, Elmhurst IL | $\mathbf{5 5 . 6 5 \%}$ |  |
| 0.67 | $5 / 6$ | $4 / 5$ | 3/4 | James Degerstrom - Ann Degerstrom, Oak Brook IL | $55.36 \%$ |  |
| 0.67 | $5 / 6$ | $4 / 5$ | $3 / 4$ | Penny Hughes, Crystal Lake IL; Robert Peterson, McHenry IL | $\mathbf{5 5 . 3 6 \%}$ |  |

Sunday Swiss - 11 Tables


WUMBA Spring Sectional, March 28-30
299er Pairs - 7.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1.72 | 1 | 1 | 1 | John Hebbring-Betty Conte, Waupaca WI | $65.00 \%$ |


| 1.29 | 2 | 2 |  | Carol Konrad, Neshkoro WI; Roy Stohlman, Appleton WI | 64.17\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.97 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Nancie Walsh, Menasha WI; Margaret Malloy, Sherwood WI | 59.17\% |
| 0.73 | 4 |  |  | Robert Allen, Appleton WI; Arnold Miller, Waupaca WI | 57.50\% |
| 0.60 | 5 |  |  | James Hyde, Appleton WI; Michael French, Fond Du Lac WI | 55.00\% |
| 0.67 |  | 4 | 3 | Jack Salm - Mary Lou Salm, Neenah WI | 51.25\% |

## 299er Pairs - 8.5 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.92 | 1 |  |  | Robert Allen, Appleton WI; Arnold Miller, Waupaca WI |
| 1.62 | 2 | 1 |  | Olga Hocking, Ishpeming MI; Jack Herman, Gwinn MI |
| 1.08 | 3 |  |  | Carol Konrad, Neshkoro WI; Carol Williams, Oshkosh WI |
| 1.22 | 4 | 2 | 1 | Elaine Mortenson - Kay Olm, Ripon WI |
| 0.91 | 5 | 3 |  | Loren Gies, Skandia MI; Mary Ann Romberg, New London WI |
| 0.68 |  | 4 |  | Leland Miller - Alice Depue, Marquette MI |
| 0.89 |  | 5 | 2 | John Hebbring - Betty Conte, Waupaca WI |
| 0.67 |  |  |  | Eugene Trieglaff, Wild Rose WI; Barton Blum, Waupaca WI |

Score
69.58\%
57.44\%
56.55\%
55.06\%
53.17\%
52.91\%
50.89\%
49.21\%

299er Pairs - 11.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.13 | 1 |  |  | Arnold Miller, Waupaca WI; Robert Allen, Appleton WI | $63.39 \%$ |
| 1.71 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Barbara Stapleford - Bob Stapleford, Luxemburg WI | $57.14 \%$ |
| 1.28 | 3 | 2 |  | Leland Miller - Alice Depue, Marquette MI | $55.36 \%$ |
| 0.96 | 4 | 3 | 2 | Nancie Walsh, Menasha WI; Margaret Malloy, Sherwood WI | $55.06 \%$ |
| 0.72 | 5 | 4 |  | Olga Hocking, Ishpeming MI; Jack Herman, Gwinn MI | $54.46 \%$ |
| 0.39 | $6 / 8$ |  |  | Carol Williams, Oshkosh WI; Carol Konrad, Neshkoro WI | $54.17 \%$ |
| 0.39 | $6 / 8$ |  |  | Michael French, Fond Du Lac WI; James Hyde, Appleton WI | $54.17 \%$ |
| 0.60 | $6 / 8$ | 5 |  | Kathy Riopel - Ed Stoever, Rhinelander WI | $54.17 \%$ |
| 0.72 |  |  | 3 | Eugene Trieglaff, Wild Rose WI; Barton Blum, Waupaca WI | 5.08 |
| 0.54 |  |  | 4 | Audrey Artz - Leonard Artz, Neenah WI | $51.79 \%$ |

Sat Aft 299er Pairs - 10.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.02 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Barbara Stapleford - Bob Stapleford, Luxemburg WI | $58.16 \%$ |
| 1.52 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Joan Wasserberg - Gene Wasserberg, Waupaca WI | $58.15 \%$ |
| 1.14 | 3 | 3 |  | Olga Hocking, Ishpeming MI; Jack Herman, Gwinn MI | $57.91 \%$ |
| 0.85 | 4 | 4 |  | Jerome Krueger, Green Bay WI; Roy Stohlman, Appleton WI | $55.61 \%$ |
| 0.71 | 5 | 5 |  | Leland Miller - Alice Depue, Marquette MI | $54.17 \%$ |
| 0.43 | $6 / 7$ |  | Mary Ann Romberg, New London WI; Loren Gies, Skandia MI | $53.23 \%$ |  |
| 0.72 | $6 / 7$ |  | 3 | Eugene Trieglaff, Wild Rose WI; Barton Blum, Waupaca WI | $5.23 \%$ |
| 0.54 |  | 4 | Nancie Walsh, Menasha WI; Margaret Malloy, Sherwood WI | 5. |  |

Tuesday Afternoon 299er - 6.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.26 | 1 | 1 |  | Judy Anderson - Bunny Hultman, Chicago IL | $58.00 \%$ |
| 1.70 | 2 | 2 | 1 | Mary Warren, Wheaton IL; Carolyn Satrum, Downers Grove IL | $54.00 \%$ |
| 1.27 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Patti Nahin - Carole Latimer, Highland Park IL | $5.50 \%$ |
| 0.84 | $4 / 5$ |  |  | Loretta Sullivan - D Kelly Sullivan, Elmhurst IL | $5.50 \%$ |
| 0.84 | $4 / 5$ | 4 |  | Kandy Ginsburg, Highland Park IL; Harriet Fowler, Deerfield IL | $5.50 \%$ |
| 0.94 |  |  | 3 | James Bruckner, Milwaukee WI; J Barrett Graf, Pewaukee WI | $\mathbf{4 9 . 5 0 \%}$ |

Tue Mor 299er - 6.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.26 | 1 |  |  | Barbara Schwartz - R Schwartz, Morton Grove IL | 63.88\% |
| 1.86 | 2 | 1 |  | Nona Rathsack, Park Ridge IL; Karen Sarsfield, Hinsdale IL | 55.88\% |
| 1.55 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Marshall Hirshman - Robert Levin, Riverwoods IL | 53.88\% |
| 1.16 | 4 | 3 | 2 | Natalie Lebow - Janet Lawrence, Highland Park IL | 53.00\% |

Wed Eve 299er Pairs - 6.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.26 | 1 | 1 |  | Bonnie Clarke - Ginger Griffin, Chicago IL | $63.33 \%$ |
| 1.70 | 2 |  |  | Marlene Beranek - Michelle Winand, Glenview IL | $60.00 \%$ |
| 1.67 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Eugene Trieglaff, Wild Rose WI; Barton Blum, Waupaca WI | $54.17 \%$ |
| 1.25 | $4 / 5$ | $3 / 4$ | 2 | Diane Pekow - Ruth Watts, Chicago IL | $53.75 \%$ |
| 1.05 | $4 / 5$ | $3 / 4$ |  | Rose Kaufmann, Los Angeles CA; Patricia Diel, Milwaukee WI | $53.75 \%$ |
| 0.94 |  |  | 3 | Susan Heisler - Diane Kelley, Chicago IL | $48.33 \%$ |

Wed Aft 299er Pairs - 12.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.11 | 1 |  |  | Norma Cape - Diane Helt, Lake Forest IL | $62.12 \%$ |
| 2.33 | 2 | 1 |  | Peggy Griem, Lake Bluff IL; Shelley Pilon, Lake Forest IL | $60.98 \%$ |
| 1.75 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Monica Ansay, Oconomowoc WI; Suzanne Haidinger, Wales WI | $57.95 \%$ |


| 1.31 | 4 |  |  | Culver Heffernon, Oconomowoc WI; Michael French, Fond Du Lac WI | 57.77\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | John Ludwick, Pweaukee WI; Marianne Ludwick, Pewaukee WI | $57.01 \%$ |
| 1.09 | 6 | 4 |  | Marlene Backus, Brookfield WI; Barbara Schuelke, WI | $\mathbf{5 6 . 4 4 \%}$ |
| 0.68 | 5 |  | Mary Warren, Wheaton IL; Carolyn Satrum, Downers Grove IL | $55.11 \%$ |  |
| 0.83 |  | 3 | Marlene Nievin - Elaine Howell, Racine WI | $\mathbf{5 3 . 0 3 \%}$ |  |

## Wednesday Morn 299er Prs - 9.0 Tables



Thurs Morn 299er Pairs - 8.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.54 | 1 |  |  | Loretta Sullivan - K Kelly Sullivan, Elmhurst IL | 61.25\% |
| 2.26 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Sherilyn Sorem, Inverness IL; Chris Gardner, Palatine IL | 58.75\% |
| 1.70 | 3 | 2 |  | Clara Zukrow, Brown Deer WI; S Derrwaldt, West Bend WI | 57.50\% |
| 1.07 | 4 |  |  | C Jean Bluemner, Franklin WI; Carol Herr, Greenfield WI | 55.42\% |
| 1.11 | 5/6 | 3/4 | 2/3 | William Baker - Wayne Carriveau, Burlington WI | 52.92\% |
| 1.11 | 5/6 | 3/4 | 2/3 | Patricia Lennon, Arlington Hts IL; Leslee Johnson, Palatine IL | 52.92\% |
| 0.72 |  | 5 |  | Colleen Ryan - Cally Jennison, Brookfield WI | 52.08\% |

Thursday Aft 299er Pairs - 7.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.40 | 1 |  |  | S Derrwaldt, West Bend WI; Clara Zukrow, Brown Deer WI | 62.92\% |
| 1.80 | 2 | 1 |  | Karen Anderson, Batavia IL; Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL | 60.83\% |
| 1.35 | 3 |  |  | Charles Becker, Pittsboro NC; Pete Rub, Hinsdale IL | 58.33\% |
| 1.01 | 4 |  |  | Ann Shewman, Lombard IL; Sheila Lucks, Western Springs IL | 55.83\% |
| 0.84 | 5/6 |  |  | Craig Ostrand - David Tauber, Sturgeon Bay WI | 54.58\% |
| 1.43 | 5/6 | 2 | 1 | Patricia Peck, Hartland WI; Barbara Swansby, Pewaukee WI | 54.58\% |
| 1.01 |  | 3 |  | Karen McAleenan, Hinsdale IL; Nancy Nyberg, Park Ridge IL | 50.42\% |
| 1.07 |  | 4 | 2 | Richard Wright - Diane Wright, Milwaukee WI | 49.17\% |

Fri Morn 299er Pairs - 9.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.68 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Karen Anderson, Batavia IL; Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 8 \%}$ |
| 2.01 | 2 |  |  | Roberta Higbie, Indianapolis IN; Joe Class, Franklin IN | $\mathbf{6 1 . 6 9 \%}$ |
| 1.80 | 3 | 2 |  | Elaine Howell, Racine WI; Sharon Gierahn, Franksville WI | $\mathbf{5 8 . 0 1 \%}$ |
| 1.35 | 4 | 3 | 2 | Robert Peterson, McHenry IL; Penny Hughes, Crystal Lake IL | $\mathbf{5 7 . 3 9 \%}$ |
| 1.13 | 5 | 4 |  | Don Grider - Inez Grider, Georgetown TX | $\mathbf{5 5 . 9 6 \%}$ |
| 0.79 |  | 5 |  | E Gerald Gale - Elizabeth Gale, Rockford IL | $\mathbf{5 5 . 1 9 \%}$ |
| 0.94 |  |  | Robert Nelson - Elisabeth Nelson, Hudson WI | $\mathbf{5 4 . 6 2 \%}$ |  |

Friday Aft I/N Pairs - 10.5 Tables

| MPs | A B | C | Names | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.97 | 11 |  | Ann Shewman, Lombard IL; Sheila Lucks, Western Springs IL | 64.46\% |
| 2.23 | 2 |  | Joe Class, Franklin IN; Roberta Higbie, Indianapolis IN | 61.33\% |
| 1.90 | 32 |  | Judith Goetz, Milwaukee WI; Helen Reiley, Somewhere In WI | 60.04\% |
| 1.90 | 43 | 1 | Ilse Grove - Karsten Grove, South Bend IN | 58.43\% |
| 1.43 | 54 | 2 | Karen Anderson, Batavia IL; Neil Whittle, Carol Stream IL | 55.80\% |
| 1.07 | 65 | 3 | Robert Peterson, McHenry IL; Penny Hughes, Crystal Lake IL | 53.48\% |
| 0.80 |  | 4 | Anna Biederwolf - Patricia Shea, Racine WI | 51.49\% |

Sat Mor 299er Pairs - 7.0 Tables

| MPs | A | B | C | Names | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.40 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Dolores Teichen - Frances Hill, Lake Barrington IL | 65.00\% |
| 1.58 | 2/3 | 2/3 | 2 | Anna Biederwolf - Patricia Shea, Racine WI | 56.25\% |
| 1.58 | 2/3 | 2/3 |  | Alexander Zaporozec - Tony Blasczyk, Madison WI | 56.25\% |
| 1.01 | 4/5 | 4 | 3 | Ellen Katz, Riverwoods IL; Kandy Ginsburg, Highland Park IL | 52.92\% |
| 0.89 | 4/5 |  |  | Mark Harrington, Mequon WI; John Pereles, Milwaukee WI | 52.92\% |
| 0.76 |  |  | 4 | Virginia Zabski, Trevor WI; Corinne Laluzerne, Lake Villa IL | 52.50\% |

Sunday Morn 299er Swiss - 7 Tables
MPs A B C Names
Score
2.40111 Virginia Zabski, Trevor WI; Paul Prez, Chicago IL; Paul Howard - Corinne Laluzerne, Lake Villa IL 50.00
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## Upcoming Tournaments

Summerfest Regional, Elmhurst, IL, July 7-13
Green Bay Sectional, Green Bay, WI, July 18-20
Bob Charlson Sectional, Dousman, WI, August 1-3
Marquette Sectional, Marquette, MI, August 21-24
Wisconsin Holiday Regional, Lake Geneva, WI, Dec. 26-30

## (Continued from page 11)

| 1.802 | 2 | Susan Heisler - Ginger Griffin - Ruth Watts - Diane Pekow, Chicago IL | 42.00 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.18 | $3 / 4$ | Monica Ausoy - Sue Hardinger, Ocou WI; Wes Burzynski, Waukesha WI; Jerald Schlais, Dousman WI | 41.00 |  |
| 1.18 | $3 / 4$ | Hazel Connor - Greg Pattinson - Eugene Bolzan - Lois Scaife, Chicago IL | 41.00 |  |

Sunday Aft 299er Swiss - 7 Tables
MPs A B C Names
2.40111 Monica Ansay, Oconomowoc WI; Sue Hardinger, Ocou WI; Wes Burzynski, Waukesha WI; Jerald Schlais, Dousman WI 60.00
1.802 Susan Zellin - Ronald Cohn, Glendale WI; Susan Hilse, New Berlin WI; Marlene Backus, Brookfield WI
1.5032 Robert Peterson, McHenry IL; Penny Hughes, Crystal Lake IL; Diane Kelley, Chicago IL; Rose Kaufmann, Los Angeles CA

## Calling the Director:

At every duplicate bridge game, there is at least one trained referee known as the director. She is responsible for making sure play proceeds in a timely manner and for settling any problems that might arise. Whenever you are unsure of the proprieties or laws of bridge, you may tell the rest of the players at the table that you are going to call for the director. Then simply raise your hand in the air and say, "Director, please." The director will then come over to help straighten things out. When in doubt, ask the director! That is what she's there for.


